

Message

From: Sanga, Ravi [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=94FC8A3DE5994339AF563FF14098A8F8-SANGA, RAVI]
Sent: 4/16/2020 4:58:22 PM
To: Cardona-Marek, Tamara (ECY) [TACA461@ECY.WA.GOV]; bob.warren@ecy.wa.gov
CC: Lynch, Kira [lynch.kira@epa.gov]; Gardiner, William W CIV USARMY CENWS (USA) [William.W.Gardiner@usace.army.mil]; Liu, Jing (ECY) [JLIU461@ECY.WA.GOV]; Thomas, Richard (ECY) [RITH461@ECY.WA.GOV]; Hoffman, Erika [Hoffman.Erika@epa.gov]
Subject: Ecology Comments on the EW FS
Attachments: EW FS_Comment Response Table_11-3-17.pdf; East Waterway Ecology Comments

Tamara and Bob,

After our conference call yesterday, I reviewed my files and specifically Ecology's comments and EWG's response to comments generated as part of the Stakeholder draft of the East Waterway FS. As a reminder, Ecology's general comment indicated you were comfortable with the alternatives analysis presented in the FS, but had specific comments that would improve accuracy of the document as a whole. EPA submitted Ecology's comments in their entirety to the EWG and most of the suggested changes were incorporated into the draft FS by the EWG as indicated in Comment Responses (attached). Comment responses 13-447 (pgs 4-44) relate predominantly to Ecology's comments. Let me know if you have any further questions.

I apologize if this is the first time Ecology is receiving the EWG Response to comments on the EW FS. As mentioned in the meeting yesterday, EPA can be flexible with Ecology's review time of the Proposed Plan. Should you have any questions, please let me know.

The link to the Final FS is below. It does take awhile to download.

<https://semspub.epa.gov/work/10/100189627.pdf>

Regards,

Ravi